INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT FY2014-2015 DRINKING WATER ANNUAL RESOURCE DEPLOYMENT PLAN (ARDP)

State Fiscal Years 2014 (July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014) and 2015 (July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015)

Click here to go the table of contents (by placing cursor over the link and pressing down the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).

Not all state Public Water System Supervision (PWSS) programs have access to enough resources to implement all of the provisions of existing drinking water regulations, and other primacy program requirements. Therefore, we need to plan for circumstances where resources are inadequate to implement the entire drinking water protection program. Since the purpose of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) is to protect public health, federal and state agencies have an obligation to ensure that limited resources are deployed in a way that ensures maximum health protection benefit, and that we collectively keep track of what is and is not being done as we strive for full implementation.

The plan documents what will and will not be done during the year. The agreement reflects state capacity based on available resources, as well as local health protection priorities. Core activities, such as explaining regulation requirements to public water supplies, and tracking and reporting violations, are fundamental to the integrity of the public health protection program and are not amenable to priority setting. U.S. EPA will participate and support state implementation efforts where appropriate and possible. U.S. EPA commitments in support of state programs are listed in the table.

The state and U.S. EPA will both report annually on their accomplishments so we can jointly appraise our effectiveness, and our progress toward implementing the complete program. Where resource shortfalls continue to exist, the state and EPA will simultaneously continue efforts to obtain additional resources in order to fill the resource gap. State and EPA efforts to obtain additional resources necessary to fill the gaps associated with temporarily disinvested activities will be documented in the end-of-year evaluation reports.

Using this resource deployment plan as a framework for annual planning and progress assessment should meet several objectives:

- (1) Promote clear understanding of both state and U.S. EPA commitments.
- (2) Minimize ad hoc requests for program reporting.
- (3) Promote judicious use of limited resources to achieve the best possible public health protection.
- (4) Support efforts to increase resources by clearly identifying resource and program constraints.
- (5) Promote collaborative inter-agency program planning and implementation.

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM SUPERVISION PROGRAM CORE STATE ACTIVITIES

- ⇒ Provide an <u>adequate laboratory certification program</u> for all regulated contaminants. This does not mean that states must expand their labs to perform all the analyses. At a minimum, a state should have an adequate certification program to certify commercial labs within the state.
- ⇒ Maintain a <u>data management system</u> that tracks requirements for all rules. This means to have the appropriate combination of hardware, software and personnel to accurately and within a reasonable timeframe identify the inventories (including routine updates of system information), maintain water quality monitoring information, and track compliance with all M/R, MCL, MRDL, TT, PN and public information requirements.
- \Rightarrow Keep adequate records of pertinent state decisions.
- \Rightarrow Adopt all rules in a timely manner (within two year extension period).
- ⇒ Notify all systems of regulatory requirements and respond to questions.
- ⇒ Determine violations for all rules and report to U.S. EPA.¹
- ⇒ <u>Maintain</u> an <u>adequate enforcement</u> and compliance assistance program (adequacy determined by a decrease in violation frequency).
- ⇒ Maintain a baseline core of individuals with the technical expertise needed, to <u>perform</u> sanitary surveys, plan and spec reviews, and respond to emergencies.
- ⇒ To improve our ability to understand, measure, assess, and communicate progress, <u>conduct a</u> joint evaluation of program performance with EPA.
- ⇒ Develop and implement a plan to <u>provide adequate funding</u> to carry out all functions of the PWSS program.

2

¹ States must report actions and sample data quarterly and inventory data at least annually in accordance with 40 C.F.R. 140.15. These data must be reported in XML format and utilize the Central Data Exchange (CDX) as the media for data transfer to U.S. EPA. The reporting schedule for States to the national database, SDWIS/FED-ODS, is as follows: FFYQ1 – February 15, FFYQ2 – May 15, FFYQ3 – August 15, and FFYQ4 – November 15. If the data is not reported within 60 days, the Region will raise the issue to the State Director's attention.

CORE R5 ACTIVITIES

- ⇒ Respond to questions from our state programs about regulations. Train state staff about regulations by offering in-state and/or regional training opportunities.
- ⇒ Maintain a forum for U.S. EPA and state communications through the monthly U.S. EPA and state conference calls, holding an annual meeting, and conducting additional meetings/calls as needed.
- ⇒ Determine whether primacy applications are completed, track primacy submittal/review for all rules, and provide comments on draft rules, as requested.
- ⇒ Communicate and track reporting required for new rules by state.
- ⇒ Assist states in acquiring resources to carry out all functions of the PWSS program.
- ⇒ Monitor specific regulations related to state follow-up to the findings of the last data and enforcement verification reports, as indicated in the "R5 Activities" column.

Acronyms/Abbreviations			
ACS – Annual Commitment System	MRDL – Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level		
ARDP – Annual Resource Deployment Plan	NCWS – Non-Community Water System		
CCR – Consumer Confidence Report	NPDWR – National Primary Drinking Water Regulation		
C.F.R. – Code of Federal Regulations	NPDWR CDVRT – Compliance Determination and		
CPE – Comprehensive Performance Evaluation	Violation/Enforcement Reporting Tool		
CTA – Comprehensive Technical Assistance	NTNCWS – Non-Transient Non-Community Water System		
CWS – Community Water System	OCCT – Optimal Corrosion Control Treatment		
DBP – Disinfection By-Products	PN – Public Notification		
D/DBPR – Disinfectants and Disinfection By-Products Rule	PWS – Public Water System		
DWSRF – Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PWSID – Public Water System Identification		
eDV – Electronic Data Verification (Tool)	PWSS – Public Water System Supervision		
ERG – Expense Reimbursement Grant	SDWA – Safe Drinking Water Act		
ERP – Enforcement Response Policy	SDWIS/FED – Safe Drinking Water Information		
ETT – ERP Enforcement Targeting Tool	System/Federal		
FBRR – Filter Backwash Recycling Rule	SDWIS/State – Safe Drinking Water Information System/State		
GWR – Ground Water Rule	SOC – Synthetic Organic Contaminant		
GWS – Ground Water System	SOX – "SOX" is a code in SDWIS/FED that indicates the state		
GUDI – Ground Water under the Direct Influence of Surface	entered a return to compliance for a violation		
Water	SPM – U.S. EPA Region 5 Ground Water and Drinking Water		
IESWTR – Interim Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule	Branch State Program Manager		
IOC – Inorganic Contaminant	Stage 2 – The Stage 2 Disinfectants and Disinfection By-		
LCR – Lead and Copper Rule	Products Rule		
LT1ESWTR – Long-Term 1 Enhanced Surface Water	SWI – Sustainable Water Infrastructure		
Treatment Rule	SWP – Source Water Protection		
LT2ESWTR – Long-Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water	SWTR – Surface Water Treatment Rule		
Treatment Rule	TCR – Total Coliform Rule		
MCL – Maximum Contaminant Level	TMDL – Total Maximum Daily Load		
M/R – Monitoring/Reporting	TT – Treatment Technique		
MRDL – Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level	VOC – Volatile Organic Contaminant		

Annual Resource Deployment Plan Table of Contents

NOTE: You can go directly to any of these sections by moving your cursor over one of the activities below and pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button. You can click the "click here to go back to the table of contents" links throughout the document to return to this page.

Table 1. Primacy Activities	5
1.0 – Surface Water Treatment Rules: FBRR, SWTR, IESWTR, LT1ESWTR,	
LT2ESWTR	5
2.0 - Total Coliform Rule	11
3.0 - Ground Water Rule	14
4.0 – Nitrate and Nitrite	19
5.0 – Lead and Copper	21
6.0 – D/DBPRs	24
7.0 – IOCs (including Arsenic)	27
8.0 - Radionuclides (including Radon)	28
9.0 - SOCs	
10.0 - VOCs	32
11.0 - Organic and Inorganic Chemical Monitoring Waiver Program	33
12.0 – Sodium	34
13.0 – Public Notification	35
14.0 - CCR	36
15.0 – Laboratory Certification	38
16.0 - Compliance and Enforcement Management	40
17.0 – Data Management and Reporting	43
18.0 – Annual Compliance Report	46
19.0 - Conduct Joint Assessment of Program Progress Using Evaluation Tools suc	h as U.S.
EPA's Strategic Plan and State/U.S. EPA Shared Goals	47
Table 2. Other Activities	48
1.0 - Preparing for Security Threats at PWSs	48
2.0 - Operator Certification	49
3.0 - Capacity Development	52
4.0 - Source Water Assessments and Protection	54
5.0 - Conduct Joint Assessment of Program Progress Using the PWSS Program	
Implementation Report	59
Table 3. National and Regional EPA Priorities	60
1.0 - Sustainable Infrastructure	60
2.0 – Environmental Justice	61
Attachment A: Linking the Strategic Plan to this Work Plan	62

	Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
1.0 - Surface Water Tre	atment Rules: FBRR, SWTR	, IESWTR, LT1ESWTR, LT	2ESWTR	
1.1 – Submit primacy revisions as necessary. Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and	Indiana adopted the subject rules on the following dates: FBRR: 12/1/02	Indiana was awarded primacy for the subject rules on the following dates:	Requested revisions to LT2ESWTR are being made with our RTCR rulemaking.	
holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).	SWTR: 6/29/1993 IESWTR: 12/1/02 LT1SWTR: 1/12/05	FBRR: 4/8/13 SWTR: 8/30/1993 IESWTR: 4/8/13 LT1ESWTR: 6/29/07	R5 EPA responded to LT2 Rule development and implementation questions.	
	LT2SWTR: 6/6/10 (Primacy application for LT2ESWTR was submitted to EPA R5 in May 2011)	LT2ESWTR: Not yet awarded Respond to questions about surface water treatment rules.	Once IDEM Rules staff determine how to proceed with final RTCR, the requested changes will be included with that rulemaking.	
		Process the LT2ESWTR primacy application. Track progress.	R5 confirms that State performance is satisfactory.	
1.2 – Notify all surface water and GUDI systems of their LT2 regulatory requirements.	IDEM DWB has provided on-site training for all affected PWS. Training for the affected LT2 system is complete. Systems are up to date on the submittal of early implementation requirements.	EPA R5 has provided notification to the systems. As requested, promote understanding of surface water treatment regulations by conducting presentations at state water industry organization functions.	Systems are being reminded of requirements as 2 nd round Cryptosporidium testing approaches.	
1.3 – Maintain a data base management system that accurately tracks the inventory (including routine updates of	IDEM-DWB utilizes SDWIS to track all requirements of the rules including violations and enforcement. The IESWTR and the		Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA confirms that IDEM	
system information), and violations for the Surface Water Treatment Rules.	LT1ESWTR rules were tracked using SDWIS since 1/2005.		maintains a data base management system that accurately tracks the inventory (including routine updates of system information), and violations for the Surface Water Treatment Rules	

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
1.0 – Surface Water Treatment Rules: FBRR, SWTR, IESWTR, LT1ESWTR, LT2ESWTR			
1.4 – Electronically report all TT, M/R, and PN violations and inventory updates to	Violations and enforcement actions will be reported to SDWIS/FED quarterly via SDWIS/State	R5 will evaluate the extent to which LT2 violations are reported to SDWIS/FED.	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment.
SDWIS/FED for all surface water systems.	migration software.		R5 EPA confirms that IDEM met its all of its electronic reporting commitments.

Activity Components 1.0 – Surface Water Trea	State Commitment	D		
	20000 001111111111111	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
110 Bulluce Water 11ca	1.0 – Surface Water Treatment Rules: FBRR, SWTR, IESWTR, LT1ESWTR, LT2ESWTR			
1.5 – Conduct and report sanitary surveys at surface	Sanitary surveys will be conducted as required and SDWIS entry done as part of an ongoing program.	Provide training, as requested. R5 will measure completeness of sanitary surveys within evaluation time period (three or five years). This national measure will be measured again in July 2013 for the period of 2010 to 2012 and in July 2014 for the period of 2011 to 2013.	A total of 56 surveys were completed at SW and SWP systems. Of those 56, 2 were late, and they were completed within 15 days of their anniversary date. As of February 2016, Region 5 could not accurately verify this information. Region 5 will work with IDEM to develop a verification protocol by June 2016.	
of the "national and regional EPA priorities" table below, respectively).				

Table 1. Primacy Activities					
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation		
1.0 - Surface Water Tre	1.0 - Surface Water Treatment Rules: FBRR, SWTR, IESWTR, LT1ESWTR, LT2ESWTR				
1.6 – Ensure that all surface water and GUDI systems that notify the State that they recycle spent filter backwash water, thickener supernatant, or liquids from dewatering processes, return these flows through the processes of a system's existing conventional or direct filtration system, or at alternate location approved by the State.	DWB approves and tracks all requirements of FBR for all surface water systems.		Compliance with the filter backwash rule is checked as part of sanitary surveys. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity.		
1.7 – Use sanitary surveys, CPEs, other inspections, or other activities to evaluate recycled backwash water practices when they occur at surface water and GUDI systems. When those practices are not in compliance with the FBRR require the system to modify the practices to achieve compliance.	Sanitary surveys will evaluate backwash practices and note any deficiencies found during inspections. Follow-up activities will be conducted to ensure that deficiencies are addressed. Enforcement actions will be initiated if necessary.		Compliance with the filter backwash rule is checked as part of sanitary surveys. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity.		
1.8 – Ensure that filter/disinfection practices are adequate to achieve inactivation/removal requirements for regulated microbial contaminants found in surface water sources.	Sanitary surveys will note any deficiencies found during inspections. Follow-up activities will be conducted to ensure that deficiencies are addressed. Enforcement actions will be initiated if necessary		Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity.		
1.9 – Follow-up on turbidity TT and individual filter turbidity M/R violations. a. Track individual filter turbidity	This is routinely done. Violation letters will be issued to PWSs if there is any violation, and Field Inspectors will make follow-up	Region 5 will assist as necessary, or as requested.	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. Violation and enforcement actions made are also made available at IDEM's Drinking		

	Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
1.0 – Surface Water Tre	1.0 – Surface Water Treatment Rules: FBRR, SWTR, IESWTR, LT1ESWTR, LT2ESWTR			
trigger exceedances. b. Track completion of individual filter turbidity profiles for systems exceeding individual filter triggering criteria.	visits providing technical assistance. PWSs with individual filter TT violations are identified monthly, issued a violation letter and the PWS is required to perform a filter profile. Once the filter profile is submitted, it is reviewed in accordance with the rule requirement.		Water Watch (DWW) web site. Once follow-ups were made and the PWS has made the appropriate action and return to compliance, SOX code is made to the violation and can be seen at IDEM's DWW website immediately. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity.	
1.10 – When required by rule: (a) track the completion of CPE/CTA for PWSs and (b) ensure that disinfection profiling and benchmarking is conducted.	If any PWS is required to perform a CPE/CTA, the final report will be reviewed to ensure that the solutions are completed and will return the PWS to compliance. A field visit will be made if necessary.		No CPE/CTA was done in this FY. No disinfection profiling and benchmarking were done either. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity.	
1.11 – Ensure that a residual disinfectant concentration is measured according to rule requirements.	Disinfectant residual results are submitted for review for both SWTR and DBPR requirements.		Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. Residual disinfection concentration of PWSs are available to be viewed at IDEM's DWW website. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity.	

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
1.0 - Surface Water Tre	atment Rules: FBRR, SWTR	<mark>, IESWTR, LT1ESWTR, LT</mark>	2ESWTR
1.12 – Follow-up on disinfection residual TT and M/R violations.	This activity is routinely done. Violation letters will be issued to PWSs and Field Inspectors make follow-up visits providing technical assistance if necessary. Any violation of the monitoring and reporting requirements will be issued a violation letter followed by appropriate Field Inspection or other contact.	Region 5 will assist as necessary, or as requested	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. Violations made for this rule can be viewed at IDEM DWW website. Also, if there is any violation of this type, it is reported to SDWIS/FED on a quarterly basis. If violation is resolved, return to compliance code, SOX, is also made available at IDEM's DWW website. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity.
1.13 – Report treatment data (e.g., treatment codes for all surface water, GUDI, and purchased GUDI sources, seller's PWSID number for purchased surface water and purchased GUDI sources, etc.)	This data will continue to be reported to SDWIS as information is obtained. Treatment data continues to be incorporated into SDWIS.		Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. Treatment and distribution information is now being updated in SDWIS from each sanitary survey. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity.

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
2.0 - Total Coliform Ru	le		
2.1 – Maintain a data base	The total coliform rule was adopted	IDEM received primacy for the	IDEM fully utilized the SDWIS
management system that	on 6/29/1993.	TCR on 8/30/1993	TCR NCD module for compliance
accurately tracks the inventory			daily.
(including routine updates of	The Revised Total Coliform Rule		
system information) and	(RTCR) is projected to be adopted		R5 EPA confirms that IDEM
violations for the TCR.	on January 2016.		maintains a data base management
			system that accurately tracks the
Click here to go back to the table	IDEM-DWB utilizes SDWIS to		inventory (including routine updates
of contents (by pressing and	track all requirements of the TCR		of system information) and
holding the "Ctrl" key while	rules including violations and		violations for the TCR.
clicking the left mouse button).	enforcement.		
2.2 – Electronically report all	Currently, all violations,		Ongoing activity, meeting
TCR MCL, M/R and PN	enforcement and inventory updates		commitment.
violations and inventory	for TCR are reported to SDWIS		
updates to SDWIS/FED for all	quarterly.		R5 EPA confirms that IDEM met its
public water systems.			all of its electronic reporting
			commitments.

	Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
2.0 – Total Coliform Ru	le			
2.3 – Follow-up on all MCL and M/R violations and determine a proper course of action to ensure public health protection.	After an MCL violation, a letter is sent to the PWS in violation. Field Inspectors are given copies of the letters. Follow-up calls or on-site visits by the Field Staff will be performed. The follow-up to determine the adequacy of the systems' action will depend on the type of system and population served and the availability of resources at IDEM.	Region 5 will assist as necessary, or as requested.	Routinely done, commitment being met. This is a high priority for Field staff. They were able to call or visit all systems to follow-up on MCL violations. Violations made for this rule can be viewed at IDEM DWW website. Also, if there is any violation of this type, it is reported to SDWIS/FED on a quarterly basis. If violation is resolved, return to compliance code, SOX, is also made available at IDEM's DWW website.	
2.4 – Ensure sanitary surveys are conducted periodically that, at a minimum, meet frequency requirements specified by rule. Consider using sanitary surveys to evaluate and document status and progress of SWP and	Sanitary surveys will be conducted at required frequency as an ongoing program*. Inspection information is being entered and tracked in SDWIS. *IDEM continues to experience turnover in the staff that perform		R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity. A total of 2204 sanitary surveys were completed. Of those, 43 were late. Some of these were due to lack of access, and letters were sent to the owners requiring entry. The majority of the systems were less than 30 days past the anniversary date of the last survey.	
SWI activities (see section 4.0 of the "other activities" table and section 1.0 of the "national and regional EPA priorities" table below, respectively).	sanitary surveys. We face challenges in training new staff and getting them up to speed to perform surveys in competent manner. We will be challenged to perform all sanitary surveys at a frequency specified in rules.		As of February 2016, Region 5 could not accurately verify this information. Region 5 will work with IDEM to develop a verification protocol by June 2016.	

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
2.0 – Total Coliform Ru	le		
2.5 – Plan for the transition from TCR to the Revised Total Coliform Rule (RTCR). Ensure that adequate resources are dedicated and that State rule implementation will begin by April 1, 2016.	IDEM continues to plan the implementation of RTCR before the rule becomes effective April 2016. The plan includes resource issues both for compliance and inspection activities. Further, all applicable training will be attended to ensure adequate staff knowledge for the transition. We believe implementing RTCR at NCWSs will be a serious challenge for us.	Region 5 EPA will provide webinar rule training.	Planning has focused on the startup procedures for seasonal systems and sampling plans at TNCWS. The DW Branch created an RTCR group that meets regularly to ensure that the transition in meeting the RTCR due date of April 1, 2016 will be fully implemented. In 2014 and 2015, EPA-HQ provided RTCR training webinars and Region 5 answered fielded and answered RTCR rule development and implementation questions from IDEM. It has been mutually agreed that this method fills the State need and the R5 obligation. It is anticipated that no additional future workshops or webinars are needed.

	Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
3.0 - Ground Water Rul	e			
3.1 – Submit primacy revisions as necessary. Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).	The Ground Water Rule became effective in Indiana on June 6, 2010 and is being revised to address EPA comments. IDEM projects revised GWR adoption by mid-2014. The initial primacy application for the GWR was received by EPA on May 9, 2011.	R5 will complete its review of the IDEM primacy application and announce a stringency determination following the receipt of a final revised rule	Requested revisions to the GWR are being made with the RTCR rulemaking. Once IDEM Rules staff determine how to proceed with final RTCR, the requested changes will be included with that rulemaking. R5 did not have the opportunity to conduct a final review or announce a primacy determination for the GWR during the performance period.	
3.2 – Maintain a data base management system that accurately tracks the inventory (including routine updates of system information), and violations for the GWR.	The GWR data base is maintained using SDWIS/State. IDEM will relay to Region 5 any issues with limited SDWIS/State rule tracking functionality.	Region 5 commits to communicate any issues IDEM has with limited SDWIS/State rule tracking functionality to HQ via the national GWR workgroup.	IDEM fully utilized the SDWIS TCR NCD module with GWR capability for compliance daily. R5 EPA confirms that IDEM maintains a data base management system that accurately tracks the inventory (including routine updates of system information), and violations for the GWR.	

Table 1. Primacy Activities				
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
3.0 - Ground Water Rul	e			
3.3 – Electronically report all TT, M/R and PN violations and inventory updates to SDWIS/FED for all public water systems.	All violations, enforcement and inventory updates for GWR will be reported to SDWIS quarterly.	R5 will evaluate the extent to which GWR violations are reported to SDWIS/FED.	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. Violations made for this rule can be viewed at IDEM DWW website. Also, if there is any violation of this type, it is reported to SDWIS/FED on a quarterly basis. If violation is resolved, return to compliance code, SOX, is also made available at IDEM's DWW website. The October 2015 data freeze found that IDEM reported 331 GW M/R and 7 GWR TT violations during the 7/1/14 to 6/30/15 period. R5 EPA confirms that IDEM met all of its electronic reporting commitments.	

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
3.0 - Ground Water Rul	e		
3.4 – Conduct and report sanitary surveys that meet requirements by 12/31/12 at CWSs and then every 3 years thereafter, and by 12/31/14 at NCWSs served by a groundwater source and then every 5 years thereafter. A completed sanitary survey means the date a sanitary survey visit was conducted in which all eight sanitary survey components have been addressed per 142.16(b)(3)(i). If a sanitary survey takes multiple days or visits to complete, only the latest date or last visit is expected to be reported for the final visit date that completes the eight components of a sanitary survey. Consider using sanitary surveys	Sanitary surveys will be conducted at required frequency as an ongoing program.* Sanitary Surveys will be reported to SDWIS regularly. *IDEM continues to experience turnover in the staff that perform sanitary surveys. We face challenges in training new staff and getting them up to speed to perform surveys in competent manner. We will be challenged to perform all sanitary surveys at a frequency specified in rules.	R5 will measure completeness of ground water sanitary surveys within evaluation time period (three or five years).	A total of 2204 sanitary surveys were completed. Of those, 43 were late. Some of these were due to lack of access, and letters were sent to the owners requiring entry. The majority of the systems were less than 30 days past the anniversary date of the last survey. As of February 2016, Region 5 could not accurately verify this information. Region 5 will work with IDEM to develop a verification protocol by June 2016.
to evaluate and document status and progress of SWP and SWI activities (see section 4.0 of the			
"other activities" table and section 1.0 of the "national and regional EPA priorities" table			
below, respectively).			

	Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
3.0 – Ground Water Rul	e			
3.5 – Ensure that GWSs that must treat to the 4-log virus removal/inactivation standard conduct compliance monitoring to demonstrate treatment effectiveness.	GWSs that must treat to the 4-log virus removal/inactivation standard were issued an approval letter requiring the system to have chlorine residual monitoring device for continuous testing or grab sampling on small systems (3300 population or less). Systems are required to submit monthly reports of operation ensuring that the required minimum residual is met		Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity	
3.6 – Determine appropriate corrective actions in consultation with GWSs that collect fecal indicator-positive source water sample(s) or that have significant deficiencies.	daily. GWSs that have fecal indicator- positive source water samples are required to collect 5 additional samples within 24 hours. If any of the results is fecal positive, the system will be required to either: provide treatment (to be on compliance schedule), find other source, provide bottle water, or, on cases found during sanitary survey, to remove any potential sources of contaminants.		Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity	
3.7 – Determine if optional source water monitoring will be used. If so, apply monitoring requirements to selected systems.	Optional source water monitoring will be used if there's history of violation Otherwise, see 3.7 above.		Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity.	

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
3.0 – Ground Water Rul	le		
3.8 – Follow-up on, and return	Corrective actions outside of	Region 5 EPA will assist as	Ongoing activity, meeting
to compliance:	sanitary survey deficiencies are	necessary, or as requested.	commitment.
(a) corrective action	handled through formal enforcement		
consultation and reporting	actions.		R5 EPA was unable to verify this
violations,			activity.
(b) TT violations,	All M/R violations are issued a		
(c) M/R violations,	violation letter after the end of the		
(d) public notification	reporting period.		
violations, and			
(e) other discovered	Follow up on systems that failed to		
recordkeeping/reporting	issue a PN will be prioritized based		
violations.	on available resources.		
	All discovered		
	recordkeeping/reporting violations		
	are issued violation letter after the		
	end of the reporting period.		

Table 1. Primacy Activities				
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
4.0 – Nitrate and Nitrite				
4.1 – Maintain a data base management system that accurately tracks the inventory (including routine updates of system information), and tracks nitrate/nitrite violations. Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while	Nitrate/nitrite compliance is tracked using SDWIS/State.		IDEM fully utilized the SDWIS CDS module for daily compliance with the nitrate/nitrite rule. R5 EPA confirms that IDEM is utilizing SDWIS CDS for nitrate/nitrate rule tracking.	
dicking the left mouse button). 4.2 – Electronically report all MCL, M/R and PN violations and inventory updates to SDWIS/FED for all public water systems.	All violations, enforcement and inventory updates are reported to SDWIS quarterly.		Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA confirms that IDEM met all of its electronic reporting commitments.	

Table 1. Primacy Activities				
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
4.0 – Nitrate and Nitrite				
4.3 – Follow-up on all MCL and M/R violations and determine a proper course of action to ensure public health protection.	After an MCL violation, a letter is sent to the PWS in violation. Field Inspectors are given copies of the letters. Follow-up calls or on-site visits by the Field Staff will be performed. The follow-up to determine the adequacy of the systems' action will depend on the type of system and population served and the availability of resources at IDEM. All M/R violations are issued a violation letter after the end of the reporting period including day care and schools. SDWIS provides the necessary candidate violations and staff does the validation of all the	Region 5 will assist as necessary, or as requested.	Ongoing activity, met commitment. Field Staff performed a site visit for all acute MCL violations. Technical assistance calls may be made to systems with MCLs for chronic contaminant violations and on-site visits were made when circumstances warranted it. Violations made for this rule can be viewed at IDEM DWW website. Also, if there is any violation of this type, it is reported to SDWIS/FED on a quarterly basis. If violation is resolved, return to compliance code, SOX, is also made available at IDEM's DWW website.	
	violations.		R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity.	

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
5.0 – Lead and Copper			
5.1 – Submit primacy revisions as necessary	Indiana adopted the following rules: LCR: 12/5/1994.	Indiana was awarded primacy for the following rules:	Requested rule revisions are being made with the RTCR rulemaking.
Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while	LCRMR: 1/1/01 Primacy application for LCRSTR	LCR: 3/16/1995. LCRMR: 12/1/05	No rule revisions were submitted to R5 EPA for review in SFY 14 or 15.
clicking the left mouse button).	was submitted to EPA R5 in May 2011. IDEM responded to EPA comments in January 2012. Rule revisions will occur sometime mid-2014.	Region 5 will provide training, as needed.	Once IDEM Rules staff determine how to proceed with final RTCR, the requested changes will be included with that rulemaking.
5.2 – Incorporate rule revisions into state oversight and	LCRMR has been incorporated in IDEM's compliance and		Complete.
enforcement operations.	enforcement tracking mechanisms.		R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity.
5.3 – Notify all CWSs and NTNCWSs of their LCRSTR	All public water systems are notified of their regulatory		Meeting commitment.
regulatory requirements	requirements.		R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity.
5.4 – Maintain a data base management system that accurately tracks lead and	LCR and the minor revisions are tracked using SDWIS.		LCR and LCRMR are tracked under the CDS module of SDWIS.
copper action level exceedances (sample data), violations, and milestone data for CWSs and NTNCWSs.			R5 EPA confirms that IDEM uses SDWIS CDS to track LCR and LCRMR.

	Table 1. Primacy Activities				
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation		
5.0 – Lead and Copper					
5.5 – Electronically report violation and milestone data to SDWIS/FED for all CWSs and NTNCWSs, lead and copper 90 th percentile action level sample data for all large and medium sized systems, and 90 th percentile action level exceedance sample data for small systems.	All violations, enforcement, and inventory updates are reported to SDWIS quarterly.	R5 will evaluate the extent to which LCRSTR violations are reported to SDWIS/FED. R5 expects to finalize the LCR module of the NPDWR compliance determination and violation/enforcement reporting tool (CDVRT) by December 31, 2013.	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA confirms that IDEM met all of its electronic reporting commitments. As of January 2016, R5 EPA was finalizing the LCR module of the NPDWR compliance determination and violation reporting tool. We are projecting the LCR module to go live some time in February. According to the October 2015 data freeze, IDEM reported 72 LCR M/R violations between 7/1/14 and 6/30/15.		
5.6 – Designate OCCT and follow-up on OCCT installation violations at all required PWSs.	OCCT continues to be issued for PWSs. OCCT installation violations will be referred for formal enforcement. Schools and day care M/R violations are readily identified in the Agency database system. Monthly, quarterly, or semi-annual M/R update queries are made to identify M/R violations. Once M/R violations are identified, violation letters are sent.		Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity.		

Table 1. Primacy Activities				
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
5.0 – Lead and Copper				
5.7 – Follow-up on all M/R violations.	M/R violations are readily identified in the Agency database system. Monthly, quarterly, or semi-annual M/R update queries are made to identify M/R violations. Once M/R violations are identified violation letters are sent.	Region 5 will assist as necessary, or as requested.	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. Violations made for this rule can be viewed at IDEM DWW website. Also, if there is any violation of this type, it is reported to SDWIS/FED on a quarterly basis. If violation is resolved, return to compliance code, SOX, is also made available at IDEM's DWW website. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity.	
5.8 – Set optimal water quality parameter ranges and/or minimum values for all CWSs and NTNCWSs where required by the LCR.	WQP ranges continue to be set for all PWSs that are required to optimize corrosion control.		Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity.	

	Table 1. Pr	imacy Activities	
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
6.0 – D/DBPRs			
6.1 – Submit primacy revisions as necessary.	Indiana adopted the following DDBPR Rules:	Region 5 awarded primacy for the Stage 1 DDBPR on 4/8/13.	Additional minor modifications being done with RTCR rulemaking.
Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).	Stage 1 DDBPR: 12/1/02. Revised Stage 2 DDBPR: 3/27/13 Minor modification to the DDBPR will be adopted sometime mid-2014.	Review and approve Stage 2 DDBPR primacy application. Train state staff about Stage 2 D/DBPR by offering in-state and/or regional training opportunities.	During the performance period, R5 EPA responded to Stage 2 DDBPR Rule development and implementation questions. Once IDEM Rules staff determine how to proceed with final RTCR, the requested changes will be included with that rulemaking.
6.2 – Notify all CWSs and NTNCWSs (serving greater than 10,000 people) delivering water that has been treated with a primary or residual disinfectant (other than ultraviolet light) of their Stage 2 regulatory requirements.	All systems have been informed of their regulatory requirements. DWB Staff completed training for Stage2 DBP rules in mid-2008.	Region 5 will handle and close out all enforcement actions that we've initiated and will continue to take enforcement actions until at least the point of state rule adoption. Once the state has adopted the rule, Region 5 will be available to assist with any enforcement actions needed.	Trainings were done for all system sizes prior to the Stage 2 compliance monitoring start date for each Stage 2 schedule. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity.
6.3 – Maintain a data base management system that accurately tracks the inventory (including routine updates of system information), and violations for the D/DBP rule.	Stage 1 and 2 D/DBP rules compliance are tracked using SDWIS. The new D/DBP rule is tracked under the CDS module of SDWIS. To ensure accuracy of treatment and distribution information currently contained in SDWIS, data from sanitary surveys is checked against SDWIS and updated as necessary.		The new D/DBP rule is tracked under the CDS module of SDWIS. To ensure accuracy of treatment and distribution information currently contained in SDWIS, data from sanitary surveys is checked against SDWIS and updated as necessary. R5 EPA confirms that Stage 2 is being tracked in SDWIS CDS. IDEM has never reported any Stage 1 violations.

	Table 1. Pri	imacy Activities	
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
6.0 – D/DBPRs			
6.4 – Electronically report all MCL, M/R TT and PN violations and inventory updates to SDWIS/FED for all public water systems, including operator certification treatment technique violations per 141.130(c).	All violations, enforcement and inventory updates are reported to SDWIS quarterly.	R5 will evaluate the extent to which Stage 2 violations and 141.130(c) operator certification treatment technique violations are reported to SDWIS/FED.	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA confirms that IDEM met all of its electronic reporting commitments. R5 EPAs October 2015 data freeze found that IDEM had reported 49 Stage 2 MCL violations and 281 Stage 2 MR violations (note: IDEM has never reported any Stage 1 violations). R5 EPAs October 2015 data query found that IDEM did not submit any operator certification violations.
6.5 – Follow-up on: (a) all MCL/MRDL violations, including chlorine dioxide MRDL violations; (b) all M/R violations; (c) all other reporting requirement violations.	When compliance staff identifies MRDL violations including chlorine dioxide MRDL violations, a violation letter will be issued to the system and the Field staff will be informed. Field staff will follow-up as necessary to help facilitate compliance.	Region 5 will assist as necessary, or as requested.	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. Violations made for this rule can be viewed at IDEM DWW website. Also, if there is any violation of this type, it is reported to SDWIS/FED on a quarterly basis. If violation is resolved, return to compliance code, SOX, is also made available at IDEM's DWW website. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity. No assistance was requested.

Table 1. Primacy Activities				
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
6.0 – D/DBPRs				
6.6 – Ensure that Subpart H systems using conventional filtration operate in compliance with the DBP precursor control treatment technique requirements.	A database for DBP precursor removal is maintained that accurately tracks the inventory (including routine updates of system information) and violations of the DBP precursor removal requirements.	Region 5 will assist as necessary, or as requested.	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity. No assistance was requested.	
6.7 – Determine which systems do not qualify for reduced monitoring and inform them they must return to the routine monitoring frequency.	Determinations will be made and the systems will be informed.		Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity.	

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
7.0 – IOCs (including Ar	senic)		
7.1 – Maintain a data base	Indiana Phase 2/5 rules were	Primacy for the Indiana Phase 2/5	IOCs are tracked under the CDS
management system that	adopted on 12/5/1994.	Rules was awarded on 3/16/1995.	module of SDWIS.
accurately tracks the inventory			
(including routine updates of			R5 EPA confirms that IDEM tracks
system information), and			IOCs with SDWIS CDS.
violations for the IOCs.			
	IOC compliance is tracked using		
Click here to go back to the table of	SDWIS CDS module.		
contents (by pressing and holding			
the "Ctrl" key while clicking the			
left mouse button).	A11 1 1 1 C 1		
7.2 – Electronically report all	All violations, enforcement and		Ongoing activity, meeting
MCL, M/R and PN violations	inventory updates are reported to		commitment.
and inventory updates to	SDWIS quarterly.		D5 FD4
SDWIS/FED for all CWSs and			R5 EPA confirms that IDEM met all
NTNCWSs.			of its electronic reporting
			commitments.

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
7.0 – IOCs (including Ar	senic)		
7.3 – Follow-up on MCL and	All M/R violations are issued	Region 5 will assist as necessary, or	Ongoing activity, meeting
M/R violations and take an	violation letter after the end of the	as requested.	commitment.
appropriate course of action that	reporting period.		
ensures public health protection.	After an MCL violation, a letter is		Violations made for this rule can be
	sent to the PWS in violation. Field		viewed at IDEM DWW website.
	Inspectors are given copies of the		Also, if there is any violation of this
	letters and a follow-up is made		type, it is reported to SDWIS/FED
	either by phone or on-site		on a quarterly basis. If violation is
	inspection. An immediate		resolved, return to compliance code,
	determination is made as to the		SOX, is also made available at
	adequacy of the system's action. If		IDEM's DWW website.
	necessary, the system is directed to		
	take additional steps to protect		R5 EPA was unable to verify this
	public health. Further contact is		activity. No assistance was
	made by Compliance staff to ensure		requested.
	field follow-up has been made and		
	that actions and samples have been		
	recorded in SDWIS.		

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
8.0 – Radionuclides (inclu	iding Radon)		
8.1 – Maintain a data base management system that	Indiana adopted Radionuclides Rules on 1/12/05.	Indiana was awarded primacy for Radionuclides on 7/23/07.	Radionuclides are tracked under the CDS module of SDWIS.
accurately tracks the inventory (including routine updates of system information), and violations for radionuclides.	Radionuclide rule compliance is tracked using the SDWIS module.		R5 EPA confirms that radionuclides are tracked with the SDWIS CDS module.
Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).			

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
8.0 – Radionuclides (inclu	iding Radon)		
8.2 – Electronically report all MCL, M/R and PN violations and inventory updates to SDWIS/FED for all CWSs.	All violations, enforcement and inventory updates are reported to SDWIS quarterly.		Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA confirms that IDEM met all of its electronic reporting commitments.
8.3 – Follow-up on MCL and M/R violations and take an appropriate course of action that ensures public health protection.	Process similar to 7.3 above.	Region 5 will assist as necessary, or as requested.	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. For FY14 and 15, no violation has been issued for this type of violation. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity. No assistance was requested.

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
9.0 – SOCs			
9.1 – Maintain a data base management system that accurately tracks the inventory (including routine updates of system information), and violations for the SOCs.	Indiana Phase 2/5 rules were adopted on 12/5/1994. SOC compliance is tracked using SDWIS.	Primacy for the Indiana Phase 2/5 Rules was awarded on 3/16/1995.	SOCs are tracked under the CDS module of SDWIS. R5 EPA confirms that IDEM tracks SOCs with SDWIS CDS.
Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).			

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
9.0 – SOCs			
9.2 – Electronically report all MCL, M/R and PN violations and inventory updates to SDWIS/FED for all CWSs and NTNCWSs.	All violations, enforcement and inventory updates are reported to SDWIS quarterly and tracked under the CDS module. To ensure accuracy of treatment and distribution information currently contained in SDWIS, data from sanitary surveys is checked against SDWIS and updated as necessary.	Monitor State SOC related follow- up to the findings of the last data and enforcement verification report, which were: 1. Ensure that IDEM assigns violations when systems ≥3300 fail to collect 2 samples in a 12-month period following a 3 year compliance period. 2. Ensure that IDEM assign M/R violations for missed chemical sampling (either routine or increased). 3. Ensure that systems monitor for fluoride even if they do not fluoridate. 4. Ensure that violations are assigned for quarterly sampling following an SOC greater than the detection limit.	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA confirms that for 2014, IDEM met its all of its electronic reporting commitments.

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
9.0 – SOCs			
9.3 – Follow-up on MCL and M/R violations and take an appropriate course of action that ensures public health protection.	Process similar to 7.3 above.	Region 5 will assist as necessary, or as requested.	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. Violations made for this rule can be viewed at IDEM DWW website. Also, if there is any violation of this type, it is reported to SDWIS/FED on a quarterly basis. If violation is resolved, return to compliance code, SOX, is also made available at IDEM's DWW website.
			R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity. No assistance was requested.

	Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
10.0 – VOCs				
10.1 – Maintain a data base management system that accurately tracks the inventory (including routine updates of system info), and violations for VOCs. Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).	VOC compliance is tracked using SDWIS. To ensure accuracy of treatment and distribution information currently contained in SDWIS, data from sanitary surveys is checked against SDWIS and updated as necessary.		VOCs are tracked under the CDS module of SDWIS. R5 EPA confirms that IDEM tracks VOCs with SDWIS CDS.	
10.2 – Electronically report all VOC MCL, M/R and PN violations and inventory updates to SDWIS/FED for all CWSs and NTNCWSs.	All violations, enforcement and inventory updates are reported to SDWIS quarterly.		Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA confirms that IDEM met all of its electronic reporting commitments.	
10.3 – Follow-up on MCL and M/R violations and take an appropriate course of action that ensures public health protection.	Process similar to 7.3 above.	Region 5 will assist as necessary, or as requested.	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. Violations made for this rule can be viewed at IDEM DWW website. Also, if there is any violation of this type, it is reported to SDWIS/FED on a quarterly basis. If violation is resolved, return to compliance code, SOX, is also made available at IDEM's DWW website. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity. No assistance was requested.	

Table 1. Primacy Activities				
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
11.0 - Organic and Inorga	nic Chemical Monitoring Wa	niver Program		
11.1 – Any changes to the originally approved waiver program must be submitted to Region 5 for approval. Click here to go back to the table of	No changes to the approved waiver program have been made to date.		No changes made. Cyanide waivers were rescinded at the request of Region 5 EPA. No other changes were made to the waiver program.	
contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).			Region 5 EPA confirms that IDEM rescinded cyanide waivers.	

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
12.0 – Sodium			
12.1 – Maintain a data base management system that accurately tracks the inventory (including routine updates of system information), and violations for sodium M/Rs. Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).	The database for compliance tracking and determination for sodium is part of the IOC database using the CDS module of SDWIS. To ensure accuracy of treatment and distribution information currently contained in SDWIS, data from sanitary surveys is checked against SDWIS and updated as necessary.		Sodium rule is tracked under the CDS module of SDWIS. R5 EPA confirms that IDEM tracks the Sodium rule with SDWIS CDS.
12.2 – Notify appropriate local and State health departments of the sodium levels in CWS drinking water.	All county health departments are provided sodium levels of CWS upon request.		Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. For FY14 and 15, no PWS has violated this rule. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity.
12.3 – Follow-up on M/R violations.	All PWSs with M/R violations are issued a violation letter. The sodium database is part of the CWS IOC database and is tracked as part of that group.	Region 5 will assist as necessary, or as requested.	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. For FY14 and 15, no PWS has violated this rule. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity. No assistance was requested.

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
13.0 – Public Notification			
13.1 – Notify all public water systems of their public notification requirements. Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).	Indiana adopted the PN rule on 8/1/01. All PWSs have been notified of regulatory requirements.	Indiana was awarded primacy for the PN rule on 12/1/05.	Completed. Confirmed by R5 EPA.
13.2 – Maintain a data base management system that accurately tracks PN violations.	The PN violations are tracked under the TCR, CDS, and CCR modules of SDWIS.		PN rule is tracked under the TCR, CDS and CCR modules of SDWIS. R5 EPA Confirms that IDEM tracks the PN rule through the TCR CDS and CCR modules of SDWIS.
13.3 – Electronically report all public notification violations to SDWIS/FED.	All violations, enforcement, and inventory updates are reported to SDWIS quarterly.		Ongoing activity meeting commitment. R5 EPA confirms that IDEM met all of its electronic reporting commitments.
13.4 – Follow-up on all Tier 1 violations.	When a system fails to issue a PN under a Tier 1 violation, it is reported as a violation in SDWIS. Both compliance and field staff follow-up on all Tier 1 violations.	Region 5 will assist as necessary, or as requested.	Ongoing activity meeting commitment. All Tier 1 violation for CWS is tracked during the CCR submittal and if not reported, CCR notice is required to be redone. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity. No assistance requested.

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
13.0 – Public Notification			
13.5 – Follow-up on all Tier 2 and Tier 3 violations.	When a system fails to issue a PN under a Tier 2 or Tier 3 violation, it is reported as a violation in SDWIS. CWSs can report violations as part of their CCR. No additional follow-	Region 5 will assist as necessary, or as requested. R5 to track progress related to state and EPA efforts to obtain	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. Tier 2 and 3 violations for CWS are tracked during the CCR submittal
	up will typically be made due to resource limitations.	additional resources necessary to enable Indiana to follow up on all Tier 2 and Tier 3 violations.	and if not reported, CCR notice is required to be redone. R5 EPA was unable to verify this activity. No assistance requested. R5 EPA confirms that neither state nor federal resources were available to support this activity.

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
14.0 – CCR			
14.1 – Notify all regulated water systems of their CCR requirements. Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).	Indiana adopted the CCR rule on 12/1/1999. All PWS have been notified of regulatory requirements.	Indiana was awarded primacy for the CCR rule on 10/1/01. Region 5 will monitor electronic delivery according to a memo dated January 3, 2013, which documents delivery options: http://water.epa.gov/lawsregs/rulesregs/sdwa/ccr/upload/ccrdeliveryoptionsmemo.pdf	R5 EPA confirms that IDEM has updated their fact sheet on CCR requirements and it links to the EPA website where the new edelivery options are described.

	Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
14.0 – CCR				
14.2 – Maintain a data base management system that accurately tracks CCR violations.	A database for CCR is maintained that accurately tracks all CCR violations. CCR is also tracked under the SDWIS compliance schedule module.		CCR is tracked under SDWIS compliance schedule module. R5 EPA confirms that IDEM accurately tracked CCR violations in SDWIS during SFY 14 and 15.	
14.3 – Electronically report all CCR violations to SDWIS/FED.	All violations, enforcement, and inventory updates are reported to SDWIS quarterly.		Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA confirms that IDEM met all of its electronic reporting commitments.	
14.4 – Enforce the rule when the water system has not issued a CCR or issued one with insufficient content.	Systems that meet the ERP violation for CCR will be referred to the Enforcement Section for formal enforcement action. If the CCR is not issued as well as the Certification letter, they are identified by the compliance database during July and October of each year respectively. The systems are tracked using SDWIS and issued violation letters.	Region 5 will assist as necessary, or as requested. EPA will monitor development of options for CCR delivery.	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA verified that IDEM has updated their fact sheet on CCR requirements and it links to the USEPA website where the new e-delivery options are described. No R5 assistance was requested during FY 14 or 15.	

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
15.0 – Laboratory Certific	cation		
15.0 – Laboratory Certification 15.1 – All laboratories that produce results for compliance with SDWA in Indiana are certified by Indiana at a frequency of at least once every three years and meet all requirements of 40 C.F.R. parts 141 and 142. Certifications will be conducted according to the EPA Manual for the Certification of Laboratories Analyzing Drinking Water, Fifth Edition. Third parties may be used to conduct the on-site inspections of the laboratories, but the certifications must be issued by an appropriate State official. It is recommended that Indiana have a process for ensuring capacity to analyze at the Principal State Laboratory or commercial labs all NPDWR parameters that are required to be sampled in the State	IDEM is under a Memorandum of Agreement with the Indiana State Department of Health (ISDH) to issue the Laboratory certification Program for all laboratories performing analyses for compliance with SDWA. ISDH is performing the procedures established in accordance with the Lab Certification guidance manual.	Region 5 EPA last audited the Indiana laboratory certification program in May 2013. EPA will monitor progress toward addressing comments and findings from the lab certification audit.	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA has no concerns with the Indiana Laboratory Certification program at this time.
Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).			

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
15.0 – Laboratory Certific	cation		
15.2 – Maintain primacy by complying with 40 CFR 142.10, which includes:	The Lab Certification process established by ISDH ensures all NPDWR parameters can be analyzed by the State Lab (ISDH)		Meeting commitment performed by ISDH. R5 EPA confirms that Indiana is
142.10(b)(3)(i) – maintenance of a program to certify laboratories, managed by a State-designated laboratory officer or officers.	and/or commercial labs.		maintaining a laboratory certification program that meets Agency criteria.
142.10(b)(4) – assure availability of State-certified laboratory facilities to perform analysis of regulated drinking water contaminants.			

	Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
16.0 - Compliance and En	forcement Management			
16.1 – Participate with R5 in compliance and enforcement planning actions including referrals, Enforcement Verification audits, and state compliance and enforcement strategy updates. Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).	IDEM's compliance and enforcement strategy was last updated several years ago. However, the strategy referenced EPA guidance manual as well as IDEMs DWB SOPs as part of the strategy. Currently we have almost 28 SOPs in place. We will provide copies of the SOPs once they are made available to IDEMs website. IDEM's compliance strategy is current. It is routinely updated to reflect any rule change or policy and/or implementation strategy. IDEM will attend R5 meetings as resources and management priorities allow. If not able to attend in person, IDEM will participate via phone.	EPA Region 5 conducted its last enforcement verification visit in November 2008. The final EV report was dated August 24, 2012. Conduct meetings and conference calls to review status as necessary.	Activities consistent with commitment. R5 EPA did not conduct an enforcement verification audit of IDEM in 2014 or 2015. Status meetings and conference calls were periodically held.	
16.2 – Conduct compliance assistance and enforcement activities to help prevent systems from becoming ERP priorities and to address or resolve ERP priority systems within six months after being identified as priorities.	IDEM will conduct compliance assistance and enforcement according to the process outlined in the State compliance and enforcement strategy.	Assist with enforcement referrals, enhanced data exchange, analysis, data clean up, or other joint efforts as requested by state.	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. During 2014 and 2015, IDEM consistently met R5 EPA deadlines to provide data on priority systems and referral requests with each quarterly ERP letter.	
16.3 – Evaluate compliance with all rules for which the State has primacy. Respond to all violations, provide compliance assistance where appropriate and	This is an on-going State commitment in order to achieve the best compliance rate for Indiana. DWB has a Capacity Development Team that assist in achieving	Assist with enforcement referrals, analysis, data clean up, or other joint efforts as requested by state.	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. IDEM consistently met R5 deadlines to provide data on	

	Table 1. Primacy Activities				
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation		
16.0 - Compliance and En	16.0 – Compliance and Enforcement Management				
escalate to formal enforcement where systems have not returned to compliance in a timely way or are not complying with a schedule to return to compliance.	compliance for recalcitrant systems.		priority systems and referral requests with each quarterly ERP letter.		
16.4 – Update compliance and enforcement activities as directed in the quarterly ERP letter.	IDEM will update R5 on compliance and enforcement activities, within the timeframe requested in the quarterly ERP letter.	Each quarter, R5 will send the states updated ERP reports requesting a state update. R5 will integrate State updates into reports before the next request is sent out.	Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. IDEM consistently met R5 deadlines to provide data on priority systems and referral requests with each quarterly ERP letter.		
16.5 – Electronically report state formal enforcement actions, return to compliance (SOX) dates, and deactivation dates to SDWIS/FED, and correct data errors in SDWIS/FED which result in systems erroneously being classified as priorities based on the ERP. Reporting SOX dates and enforcement actions and ensuring to link to all appropriate violations helps ensure an accurate ERP list.	The State will update SDWIS/FED with this information quarterly, and link ERP addressing enforcement actions, and/or SOX dates to violations as appropriate such that SDWIS/FED accurately represents those actions for each violation affected.		Ongoing activity, meeting commitment. R5 EPA confirms that IDEM met all of its electronic reporting commitments, and addressed all data issues that showed up in the quarterly ETT, as needed.		
16.6 – See OECA annual commitment system (ACS) measure (SDWA02) in Attachment A. Address and resolve a specific number of systems between July 2013 and June 2014 for FY 14 and between	IDEM commits to address and resolve the specified number of systems within the applicable time frame.	R5 will track state commitments under measure SDWA02 and update state quarterly, engaging in discussion with states on progress as needed.	Meeting commitment. For FY 2014: IDEMs Commitment was 37 systems. End of year results in the July 2014 ETT show that IDEM addressed or returned to compliance 70 systems in FY 2014		

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
16.0 – Compliance and Enf	forcement Management		
July 2014 and June 2015 for FY15.			(29 from the original 37 on the July 2013 fixed base list plus an additional 41 that had become priority systems after July 2013). For FY 2015: IDEMs Commitment was 34 systems. End of year results in the July 2015 ETT show that IDEM addressed or returned to compliance 58 systems in FY 2015. For FY 2016: IDEMs Commitment
			is 42 systems.
			R5 commends IDEM for exceeding its compliance and enforcement management commitment.

e 1. Primacy Activities					
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation		
17.0 – Data Management an	17.0 – Data Management and Reporting				
17.1 – IDEM must use the latest	For each data submission with	Provide technical assistance and	IDEM has upgraded the		
version of SDWIS/FedRep to	errors IDEM will contact the	program assistance to all Region 5	SDWIS/FedRep to v3.4.		
validate and correct errors prior to	Region about their plans for fixing	States related to data management.	See State commitment.		
data submittal. IDEM must also	them.				
correct all object errors and as			R5 EPA Confirms that IDEM is		
many data quality errors identified	IDEM-DWB has created and tested		using Fed Rep 3.4, which is the		
by the SDWIS/FED-ODS	the modernized test database and is		latest version available.		
processing software. These	in production mode since 2005.				
corrections should be submitted			R5 EPA also commends IDEM for		
before the end of the quarter.	This is an ongoing process for		submitting reports that are nearly		
Further, IDEM should follow	IDEM-DWB staff since IDEM is		error free, and for working with		
agreed upon protocol (dated	fully utilizing all applicable		EPA quickly and efficiently to		
10/5/2006) for transmittal, receipt,	modules of SDWIS.		correct errors, if any are found.		
and review of output reports by the					
Region.	IDEM will continue to provide error		R5 EPA was available during the		
	free XML submittals		performance period to assist with		
Click here to go back to the table of			correcting ODS data entry, if		
contents (by pressing and holding the			necessary.		
"Ctrl" key while clicking the left					
mouse button).					

A -4°°4 O:	Table 1. Primacy Activities				
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation		
16.0 - Compliance and Enf	16.0 – Compliance and Enforcement Management				
17.2 – Continue to improve inventory reporting to SDWIS/FED focusing primarily on inventory data quality errors and improving locational data for CWS intakes, wells, and treatment plants for increased emphasis on Regional emergency response needs.	This is an ongoing process for IDEM-DWB staff since IDEM is fully utilizing all applicable modules of SDWIS. IDEM will continue collecting treatment plant information thru sanitary survey information and source water lat/long data. Reporting to SDWIS/ODS database will be on-going for the information. Locational data for intakes, wells, treatment plants, and other major system components collected by DWB Field Inspection and Ground Water Sections will be entered into SDWIS.	Region 5 will share the revised inventory reporting guidance document with IDEM when it becomes available from EPA HQ.	Meeting commitment. R5 EPA did not release the inventory reporting guidance document in 2015. EPA HQ staffing reductions may result in future delays in production and release.		
17.3 – Continue to improve the data reliability by the following activities (Data Quality Improvement Plan): 17.3a – IDEM will commit to full automation including electronic reporting from labs and automated monitoring schedule generation and system notification. 17.3b – IDEM will automate the compliance determinations for all rules for which it has primacy.	Since data management is critical to each State's ability to maintain primacy, the State will send a representative to the annual ASDWA Data Management Users conference. IDEM utilizes the Lab to State module. However, to assists most Certified Labs and PWS in full automation of electronic reporting, SAIC is developing electronic sampling entry (eSE) for IDEM allowing Cert. Lab to submit single or batch data onto SDWIS/State with QA/QC capability, thus saving	Region 5 will evaluate the extent to which TCR and nitrate violations are reported late to SDWIS/FED. Region 5 will finalize the NPDWR CDVRT during FY 14. Region 5 will assist IDEM with resolving data quality issues, as appropriate and as resources allow.	Meeting commitment. State SDWIS upgrade to v3.2 was completed in August 2013. IDEM intend to upgrade SDWIS to v3.3 by April 1, 2016. IDEMs eSE-Verify under CROMERR became effective last October 31, 2014. Training with the certified labs for using the eSE began in July and August 2015. IDEM eSE application was placed in production October 1, 2015 and currently several Certifed Laboratories are submitting		

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
16.0 – Compliance and En	forcement Management		
to ensure proper compliance determinations are being made. 17.3d – IDEM will provide timely compliance determination training to staff, particularly for new rules. 17.3e – IDEM will ensure the accuracy of the service area reporting for school and daycare PWSs and make revisions as necessary.	results. SDWIS is supposed to automate compliance determinations for all rules. However, if SDWIS cannot automate compliance, IDEM will develop add-on application to achieve automation. SOPs are developed as needed when new procedures are put in place for proper compliance determination. So far, 28 SOPs have been developed. IDEM routinely attends webinars being provided for training staff on current and specifically new rules. In addition, in-house training and mentoring is made by senior staff to new staff. IDEM will copy Region 5 when responding to the annual headquarters survey asking about information on SDWIS. In addition, staff continues to maintain (on a daily basis) updates or revisions to all elements of the inventory modules (especially for schools and daycare) for proper accuracy.		SOPs are continued to be made and previous SOPs are updated when necessary. All other commitments are met. R5 EPA confirms that IDEM met all of its electronic reporting commitments.

Table 1. Primacy Activities				
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
18.0 – Annual Compliance	Report			
18.1 – Prepare and submit an Annual Compliance Report (ACR). Please provide a summary of the number and percentage of systems (by system type) in compliance with monitoring requirements, by rule, as part of this report. Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).	IDEM will submit ACRs for the following fiscal years by the corresponding due dates: For Calendar Year 13: 7/1/14 For Calendar Year 14: 7/1/15	OECA to provide annual ACR guidance. R5 will forward guidance when received.	Completed for 2015. R5 EPA forwarded ACR guidance and IDEM submitted the Indiana Calendar Year 2013 Annual Compliance Report on June 30, 2014 and the Calendar Year 2014 Annual Compliance Report on June 30, 2015.	

Table 1. Primacy Activities			
Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
	sment of Program Progress U	Using Evaluation Tools such	as U.S. EPA's Strategic
Plan and State/U.S. EPA Sl	nared Goals		
19.1 – Gather information to track strategic plan progress. The IDEM-DWB director will attend the annual Region 5 state directors meeting in April of each fiscal year to discuss primacy and implementation issues. Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).	IDEM will report on status of its commitments for measures in U.S. EPA's strategic plan.	Compile information and report to HQ. Annually assess each State's progress in attaining the shared goals milestones, and identify U.S. EPA or State follow-up actions needed to maintain or improve compliance. Negotiate appropriate disinvestments with States as necessary to ensure that the highest priority work is done. Work with State Drinking Water and Ground Water Programs to increase public understanding of the impacts of budget cuts on public health protection efforts, and assist in state efforts to gain additional program resources. R5 will schedule semi-annual conference calls about every six months to discuss status updates and issues regarding state-specific topics, as necessary.	Goal+ EOY Milestone 1: ≥95.0% 94.4% Milestone 2: ≥95.0% 92.6% Milestone 3: <5.0% 22.9% Milestone 4: <10.0% 11.6% Milestone 5: <5.0% 4.0% Milestone 6: <10.0% 2.7% Milestone 7: <10.0% 17.8% + by 2016 DWB has participated and provided input and commitments on the Strategic Plans and Shared Goals with Region 5 States. For Calendar Year 2014 (April 2015 data freeze), Indiana met or exceeded these milestones: 5: NTNCWS with sig acute viols 6: MR violations for chronic viols Indiana did not meet the following milestones: 1: NTNCWS meet standards 2: TNCWS meet standards 3: Pop have significant MR viols 4: CWS with major viols 7: TNCWS have sig MR viols

Table 2. Other Activities			
Other Activity	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
Components			
1.0 – Preparing for Security	y Threats at PWSs		
1.1 – The state has adopted and can implement an adequate plan for the provision of safe drinking water under emergency circumstances including, but not limited to, earthquakes, floods, hurricanes, and other natural disasters.	State has developed a template, available to all systems, for Emergency response for provision of water during emergencies. System plans will be reviewed during sanitary surveys.	Review state emergency water plans and consult with the state on implementation capabilities.	Emergency response plans are reviewed for CWSs during sanitary surveys. In October 2015 IDEM participated in a HQ funded statewide tabletop exercise in Ft. Wayne, IDEM also participated in tabletop exercises for all Indiana American Systems and Citizen's Water (Indianapolis). InWARN now has 133 member systems. IDEM security coordinator is an assist at the emergency operation center at ESF3 seat. During 2014, Indiana Department of Homeland Security re-organized. INWARN had about 117 members; INWARN chair participated in the HQ funded IDEM TTX held on January 29, 2014. IDEMs DW state director has agreed in principle to either send EPA utility status internal reports during disasters, or to have another agency provide/make available utility status information. R5 EPA verified this at the annual state DW directors meeting on April 29, 2014.

Table 2. Other Activities				
Other Activity	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
Components				
2.0 – Operator Certificati	on			
2.1 – Annually provide	IDEM will submit the requested	IDEM received primacy	Report submitted within the required	
documentation to U.S. EPA	documentation by the specified due	approval for its operator	time frame.	
showing the ongoing	date.	certification rules on 9/1/01.		
implementation of the Operator			R5 EPA confirms that IDEM submitted	
Certification Program to avoid		Coordinate information and	its annual report on time.	
20% withholding of the DWSRF		issues on Op Cert Program		
capitalization grant by the end of		implementation and review	R5 commends IDEM for maintaining a	
the fiscal year (September 30).		and approve annual reports.	consistent number of certified operators. IDEM has achieved excellent compliance	
Click here to go back to the table of			rates that show that nearly all water	
contents (by pressing and holding			systems in the State have certified	
the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left			operators.	
mouse button).				

Table 2. Other Activities			
Other Activity	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
Components		<u> </u>	
2.0 – Operator Certificati	on		
2.2 – For operators of CWSs and NTNCWSs: (1) provide training and certification opportunities for new operators, and (2) provide training and opportunities for upgrading and renewing certification for existing operators.	IDEM staff will work to discover and receive acknowledgement by systems of certified operator vacancies, and follow up with systems to locate and secure viable candidates. IDEM will continue to ensure that certified operators demonstrate technical knowledge and pass the certification examination required by the Commissioner.		IDEM continues to provide on line training tools for operators. We are developing additional web accessible tools for the RTCR to provide ready tools for operators. Training continued targeting areas identified by certification exams to be areas of need for opeators. A list of online training tools and list of study materials are available on IDEM's web site. In addition, staff participates in presenting training classes independently and in conjunction with professional associations. Extra care and efforts have been made in the past year to assist operators by providing training specifically in areas shown to be deficient based on certification tests. IDEM has continued to maintain excellent compliance rates that show most Community water systems (98.9%), most Non-Transient Noncommunity water systems (99.3%), and all applicable Transient Noncommunity systems (100%) have certified operators. R5 EPA commends IDEM for seeking innovative approaches to make training and testing available to prospective professionals.

Table 2. Other Activities			
Other Activity	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
Components			
2.0 – Operator Certificati	on		
2.3 – Provide supplemental certification and training to water system operators on relevant "Sustainable Water Infrastructure" topics from section 1.0 of the "EPA national and regional priorities" table of the ARDP to ensure sustainable water utilities and water supplies. For example, conduct CEU-eligible training to water operators on supply/demand water efficiency or add supplemental questions on treatment plant energy efficiency activities to certification exams.	IDEM does not currently offer training on Sustainable Water Infrastructure or supply/demand water efficiency. There are currently insufficient resources to conduct these activities. IDEM will consider adding questions to the certification exams with regard to treatment plant energy efficiency.	Region 5 sustainable water infrastructure (SWI) workgroup will provide training and outreach materials to water system operators and technical assistance providers, in coordination with states.	The new exam and process will be in effect early in 2016. Question set has been developed with the help of the stakeholder workgroup. Contract with Ivy Tech Community College to administer the exam at their 25 test centers around the state is in the signature process. We expect it to be finalized mid January. Drought and resiliency questions included in exam question base. IDEM is in the process of developing our own exam. We will consider the addition of questions specific to "Sustainable Water Infrastructure" and energy efficiency in the new tests. Staff has also participated in training for certified well drillers in conjunction with Indiana Department of Natural Resources. Training topics have included supply, demand, ground water protection, sustainability, and water resources. R5 EPA commends IDEM for considering "green practices" in its training and tests. We look forward to future progress updates.

Table 2. Other Activities			
Other Activity	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
Components		S	
3.0 – Capacity Developme	ent		
3.1 – Annually provide documentation to U.S. EPA showing the ongoing implementation of both the new systems program and the existing systems strategy to avoid 20% withholding of the DWSRF capitalization grant. Annual report should address the new Capacity Development reporting measures by the end of the calendar year (December 31). Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).	IDEM will submit the requested documentation by December 31.	Region 5 will send a reminder to the State concerning the capacity development annual report due date in November.	Report submitted within the specified time frame. R5 EPA confirms that the IDEM report was submitted on time.
3.2 – Submit a report to the governor and provide a copy U.S. EPA on the efficiency of the strategy and the progress made toward improving the capacity of water systems in Indiana by October 1.	IDEM will submit the report to the governor, with a copy to Region 5 by October 1.	Region 5 will remind IDEM about the report to the governor in August.	Report to the Governor not due in 2015. Annual report to EPA submitted within the required time frame. R5 EPA confirms that the IDEM report was submitted on time.

Table 2. Other Activities			
Other Activity	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
Components			
3.0 – Capacity Developme	ent		
3.3 – Promote "Sustainable Water Infrastructure" activities as described in section 1.0 of the "national and regional EPA priorities" table of the ARDP in Capacity Development activities and assessments as part of improving the capacity and sustainability of water systems and water supplies. For example, provide technical assistance on starting an asset management program or conduct energy audits for treatment plants.	During trainings, IDEM always promotes a good asset management program. IDEM does not conduct energy audits for treatment plants at this time. Maintaining records of equipment and supplies as well as infrastructure updates is always promoted.	Region 5 SWI workgroup will provide training and outreach materials and assistance on tools (i.e., Check Up Program for Small Systems (CUPSS)) to water system operators and technical assistance providers, in coordination with states.	In 2015 we partnered with the North Carolina Finance Center to provide training and support to Indiana systems in financial matters and sustainability. IDEM continues to include assest management during presentation and quarterly meetings with the Indiana Regulatory Commission and the Office of the Utility Consumer Counselor to discuss at risk systems and work together to address issues. Asset management is promoted during sanitary surveys and presentations. In addition, staff regularly meets with the Indiana Regulatory Commission and the Office of the Utility Consumer Counselor to discuss at risk systems and to work together to ensure that rate requests take into account needs identified by IDEM inspections. Drinking water staff also contributes columns to professional association publications covering numerous subjects including asset management. R5 EPA acknowledges and congratulates IDEM for its efforts to promote good asset management.

Table 2. Other Activities				
Other Activity	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
Components				
4.0 – Source Water Assess	sments and Protection			
4.1 – Update source water	IDEM staff will review previously		Ongoing activity, met	
assessments, as resources allow.	prepared SWP plans as PWS's		commitments.	
	come due for SOC/VOC waivers			
Click here to go back to the table of	renewals, SWP plans will be		R5 EPA was unable to verify IDEM	
contents (by pressing and holding	amend/updated as necessary.		SWP plan reviews performed as a	
the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left	Wellhead Plans at CWSs are		part of SOC/VOC waiver renewals.	
mouse button).	required by rule to be updated by		IDEM is not required to report on	
	the PWS and IDEM will review the		CWS WHP update activity as per	
	updates.		state rule.	

Table 2. Other Activities			
Other Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
4.0 – Source Water Assess	sments and Protection		
4.2 – Assist local community source water protection (SWP) plan preparation and implementation in cooperation with Source Water Collaborative (SWC) members.	IDEM SWP plan development and implementation will be achieved with assistance from the following potential SWC partners: American Planning Association American Water Works Assn. Assn. of Clean Water Admin. Assn. of Muni Water Agencies Assn. of Territorial Health Ofcls. ASDWA Clean Water Fund Environmental Finance Ctr. Ntwk GWPC Groundwater Foundation Nat. Assn. of Conserv. Districts Nat. Assn. of Counties Nat. Environmental Service Ctr. National Groundwater Assn. NRWA N. American Lake Mgmt Society River Network Rural Comm. Assist. Partnership Smart Growth America Trust for Public Land USDA-FSA USEPA US Forest Service (USDA) USGS Water Systems Council	Continue to develop tools as needed, foster cross-program coordination, and encourage coordination with SWC partners to encourage broad-based actions at the state and local levels to address potential sources of contamination. Facilitate the development and expansion of State-SWC partnerships. Provide feedback and guidance. Encourage interstate communication through conference calls and an annual State—R5 EPA meeting. Encourage data sharing with other programs to prioritize permitting and compliance activities in sourcewater areas, for example.	IDEM has partnered with the Indiana Finance Authority (IFA) in the development of Source Water Emergency Response Plans (SWERP). The SWERPs are intended to provide Indiana's public water systems, utilizing surface water as their source of drinking water, an additional tool in prevention of impacts to upstream water quality and procedures to follow in the event of emergency situations that could potentially impact water quality and drinking water resources. Ongoing activity, met commitments. R5 EPA encouraged interstate communication through quarterly conference calls with R5 states and through the R5 State SWP meeting which IDEM participated in. R5 EPA commends IDEM for exploring taking advantage of DWSRF SWP setaside funds to help develop emergency response plans for above ground storage tank facilities.

Table 2. Other Activities			
Other Activity	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
Components			
4.0 – Source Water Assess	sments and Protection		
4.3 – Report the number and names of CWSs with SWP plans and the number and names of CWSs implementing SWP measures (electronically via SDWIS, if possible) of substantial implementation as defined by the State as of June 30	IDEM will report SWP progress electronically via SDWIS, which will include a downloadable list of water systems which qualify for inclusion on the list of systems which meet the State's "substantial implementation" list.	Maintain and update State information in the Region 5 portion of the annual SWP report to EPAHQ. Monitor State performance versus predetermined targets SDW-4a and SDW-4b).	Reporting of the number of CWSs with SWP plans and the number of CWSs implementing SWP measures which met the State's "substantial implementation" definition were done electronically via SDWIS, met commitment.
in each of SFYs 14 and 15. Reports are due on August 15.			R5 EPA confirms that IDEM met all of its electronic reporting commitments.

	Table 2. Other Activities			
Other Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
4.0 – Source Water Asse	ssments and Protection			
4.4 – Develop and implement coordinated approaches with other regulatory and voluntary programs to protect both the quality and quantity of source water, particularly in areas of concern.	IDEM will work with other partners to protect source water. A few examples include our work with remediation programs to prioritize cleanups in source water areas and coordinating with the Center for Earth and Environmental Science at Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis, the Indiana State Department of Health and the Indiana Department of Natural Resources to provide information about blue-green algae in our waterways.	Provide training, technical assistance, and technology transfer capabilities. Facilitate the adoption and sharing of Geographic Information System databases to support local decision making. Work with Clean Water Act program to encourage assessment of surface waters for drinking water use, prioritize impaired waters, develop TMDLs, and develop tailored approaches to achieve substantial implementation. Review the Indiana 303(d) and 305(b) reports (or integrated reports) to recommend opportunities for source water protection. Enhance SWP integration elements like the watershed approach, stormwater management, and prioritized enforcement inspections based on SWP. Work with the state to characterize current and future pressures on source water quality and availability. Support voluntary	Ongoing activity, met commitment. Highlight: IDEM is participating in an innovative long term collaboration between federal agencies, state Universities and a family farm in the Eagle Creek Watershed to better quantify the effects of agricultural practices on water (surface and ground water) quality and quantity using the first Edge-of-Field (EOF) sampling project. Ongoing activity, commitment met. R5 EPA recognizes IDEM for its creative approach and outreach with other agency partners to protect source water.	
Produced in interim production 1 E	PA-R5-2017-006353	programs such as WaterSense and other Sustainable Infrastructure activities to protect water resources.		

Table 2. Other Activities					
Other Activity	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation		
Components					
4.0 – Source Water Assess	4.0 – Source Water Assessments and Protection				
4.5 – Develop and expand SWP	IDEM will work with communities	Promote the innovative use of	Ongoing activity, met commitment.		
program implementation	to update wellhead protection plans	DWSRF set-asides and other			
mechanisms, where possible.	and facilitate implementation of	potential program funding streams.	R5 EPA recognizes IDEMs work to		
	Phase II WHPP's. IDEM will		expand its SWP program to protect		
	promote source water protection		against possible spills from above		
	partnerships with other SDWA and		ground storage tanks through the		
	CWA program areas.		use of the DWSRF setaside.		

Table 2. Other Activities			
Other Activity	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
Components			
5.0 – Conduct Joint Asses	sment of Program Progress	Using the PWSS Program In	nplementation Report
5.1 – Review the draft report prepared by R5 and assist in filling gaps related to the State's PWSS program to support the various components of the PWSS program implementation logic model.	IDEM will participate with R5 in joint assessment of program progress, as resources allow.	Use the logic model to improve our ability to understand, measure, assess, and communicate progress. SPM will work with state program to determine state-specific approach, and schedule.	IDEM participated as requested. R5 EPA evaluated the IDEM program and measured progress through the midyear, end of year and joint assessment process under the EnPPA agreement.
Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).			

Table 3. National and Regional EPA Priorities			
Other Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation
1.0 – Sustainable Infrastr	ucture		
1.1 – Enable water system and water supply sustainability by providing incentives through DWSRF set-asides and grant criteria, providing training, and encouraging sustainable water infrastructure (SWI) activities including, for example, those related to water and/or energy efficiency, asset management, and climate change adaptation and mitigation activities. SWI is important to the success of other activities in this work plan, including source water protection, DWSRF, operator certification, capacity development, and all-hazards resilience approaches, etc. Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).	The Indiana State Revolving Fund (SRF) Loan Program has a sustainability incentive for communities to include "green" project components in their SRF projects. Green projects include sustainable green infrastructure, water or energy efficiency measures or are environmentally innovative solutions. Based on the type and cost of the green component, a community may be eligible for improved ranking on the SRF Project Priority List as well as an interest rate break up to 0.5 % on its SRF Loan.	Region 5 staff participate in a region-wide SWI workgroup created to develop and share information about the cost savings and benefits of investments in SWI initiatives, including WaterSense. Region 5 staff will participate in regional and national EPA climate change adaptation/mitigation workgroups that share information about ongoing initiatives. R5 to contact states to identify what, if any, sustainable water infrastructure/climate change efforts are a priority.	In FY 2014-2015 SRF program provided n \$2,723,734 in GPR projects relating to savings for 13 separate communities. R5 EPA tracked climate change implementation at IDEM. We thank IDEM for providing valuable assistance to communities.

Table 3. National and Regional EPA Priorities				
Other Activity Components	State Commitment	Region 5 Activities	State/U.S. EPA Evaluation	
2.0 – Environmental Justice				
2.1 Provide incentives through DWSRF set-asides and grant criteria or otherwise promote and encourage environmental justice. Click here to go back to the table of contents (by pressing and holding the "Ctrl" key while clicking the left mouse button).	The Indiana Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (SRF) Loan Program gives priority to lower income and smaller population- served communities on its Drinking Water SRF Project Priority List. In addition, the Indiana Drinking Water SRF Loan Program provides additional subsidy, in the form of principle forgiveness, to eligible communities with high post-project user rates. The Indiana SRF Loan Program accepts public comment on its Intended Use Plans, Project Priority Lists, and environmental review documents. Current documents may be viewed at www.srf.in.gov.	R5 has the capability to provide states with draft GIS maps that show areas with environmental justice concerns—currently through the Environmental Justice Strategic Enforcement Assessment Tool (EJSEAT) and eventually through other tools as an interim screening approach.	In FY 2014-2015 the SRF program provided \$3,000,000 to the towns of Schneider and Gentryville. R5 EPA commends IDEM for providing valuable assistance to environmental justice communities.	

Attachment A: Linking the Strategic Plan to this Work Plan

This continuing program grant is consistent with U.S. EPA's Strategic Plan Goal 2: Clean and Safe Water, which calls for protecting public health by providing safe drinking water. Many of the grant work plan activities contribute to the goal of assuring that people served by public water systems receive drinking water that meets all applicable standards through effective treatment and source water protection. Continuing program implementation includes adopting rules at least as stringent as federal regulations, providing assistance to public water systems on regulatory requirements, conducting sanitary surveys, ensuring that monitoring and follow-up is conducted, and enforcing regulations.

Table A. Final FY 2013 National Water Program Guidance: OW and OECA National Program Manager (NPM) Guidance Targets and Program Activity Measures¹

OW ACS	Goal 2: Clean and Safe Water
code	Subobjective 2.1.1: Water Safe to Drink
SDW-211	By FY2015, 92 percent of the population served by CWSs will receive drinking water that meets all applicable health-based
	drinking water standards through approaches including effective treatment and source water protection.
	State FY15 target: 92% FY 15 Actual: 96.1%
SDW- SP1.N11	By FY2015, 90 percent of the CWSs will meet all applicable health-based standards through approaches that include effective
	treatment and source water protection.
	State FY15 target: 90% FY 15 Actual: 91.9%
SDW-SP2	By FY2015, CWSs will provide drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards during 95 percent of
	"person months" (i.e., all persons served by CWSs times 12 months).
	State FY15 target: 96% FY 14 Actual: 98.6%
SDW-SP4a	By FY2015, minimize risk to public health through source water protection for 50 percent of CWSs (i.e., "minimized risk" achieved
	by substantial implementation, as determined by the state, of actions in a source water protection strategy).
	State FY15 target: 88% FY 15 Actual: 86.3%
	By FY2015, minimize risk to public health through source water protection for 57 percent of the population served by CWSs (i.e.,
SDW-SP4b	"minimized risk" achieved by substantial implementation, as determined by the state, of actions in a source water protection
	strategy).
	State FY15 target: 76% FY 14 Actual: 73.3%
SDW-01a	By FY2015, 95 percent of CWSs that have undergone a sanitary survey within the past three years (five years for outstanding
	performers) as required under the Interim Enhanced and Long-Term 1 Surface Water Treatment Rules.
	State FY15 target: 79% FY 14 Actual: 99.9%
SDW-04	In FY2015, achieve a 89 percent fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds
	available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF). State FY 14 Tsrget: 95% Not measured
SDW-05	The number of DWSRF projects that have initiated operations (cumulative). State FY 14 Target: 200 Not measured
SDW-11	Percent of DWSRF projects awarded to small PWSs serving <500, 501-3,300, and 3,301-10,000 consumers.
	This is an indicator that HQ reports.
SDW-15	Number and percent of small CWSs and NTNCWSs (<500, 501-3,300, 3,301-10,000) with repeat health-based Nitrate/Nitrite,
	Stage 1 D/DBP, SWTR and TCR violations.
	This is an indicator that HQ reports.
SDW-17	Number and percent of schools and childcare centers that meet all health-based drinking water standards.
	This is an indicator that HQ reports.

OECA ACS	Goal 5: Compliance and Environmental Stewardship Subobjective 5.1.2: Address Environmental Problems from Water Pollution		
code SDWA02	Subobjective 5.1.2: Address Environmental Problems from Water Pollution During FY2014 and FY2015, the primacy agency must address with a formal enforcement action or return to compliance the number of priority systems equal to the number of its PWSs that have a score of 11 or higher on the July 2014 and July 2015 ETT reports ² . For FY 2014: IDEMs Commitment was 37 systems. End of year results in the July 2014 ETT show that IDEM addressed or returned to compliance 70 systems in FY 2014 (29 from the original 37 on the July 2013 fixed base list plus an additional 41 that had become priority systems after July 2013). For FY 2015: IDEMs Commitment was 34 systems. End of year results in the July 2015 ETT show that IDEM addressed or returned to compliance 58 systems in FY 2015. For FY 2016: IDEMs Commitment is 42 systems.		

¹ The information in Table A is based on draft FY2014-2015 OW and OECA measures at http://water.epa.gov/resource performance/planning/upload/Appendix-A-Measures.pdf and http://www2.epa.gov/sites/production/files/documents/draftfy14oecanpmgdnce.pdf (Appendix I), respectively.

² A primacy agency's success at addressing violations will be tracked by means of the quarterly ETT reports. Numerical targets may be adjusted at mid-year. While it remains the ERP's goal that all of a priority system's violations will be returned to compliance, a primacy agency has met its commitment under the 2014-2015 SDWA ACS with respect to a priority system if the score for that system has been brought below, and remains below, eleven.